## **Section 106 Proceed Directly to Findings Worksheet**

Form version: 2-23-19

Projects should generally initiate consultation early in their development. This form is a tool to identify occasional exceptions. Projects that qualify for streamlined review under a programmatic agreement do not need assessment via this form.

	State Project #: Federal Project #:						
Project Name:							
Project Description:	[						_
Briefly describe the project, as you would in a typical consultation letter, ie. brief and in bullet points, or as indicated per region protocol.*							
Attach map(s) that include the project area and/or APE.							
Background:			AHRS database review date	:	]		
properties within the APE? (If yes, send initiation letters.)			Does preliminary research indicate any non-road builtYNenvironment properties over 45 years of age (or otherpotentially historic properties, such as AHRS sites with noDOE) in the project APE? (If yes, send initiation letters.)				
			If the APE includes unevaluated			N	
Is ROW acquisition anticipated on any parcels that Y I may have moderate to high archaeological potential, or that contain built features over 45 years of age? (If yes, send initiation letters.)		N	from further review under 106 (If no, send initiation letters.) Will a cultural resources survey project? (If yes, send initiation let	/ be conducted for		Y	N
<b>Circumstances that support proceeding directly to findings:</b> (Check all that apply)							
No ground disturbance			Project area previously surveyed with adequate coverage, and survey is on file with OHA (indicate date and previous project name below)				
Ground disturbance limited to previously disturbed area		<b>`</b>	No/low potential for historic built environment resources Previous SHPO consultation with No Historic Properties Affected concurrence and only slight modifications to previous consultation Other ( <i>describe below</i> )				
<ul> <li>Low potential for sub-surface resources</li> <li>No/low potential for visual impacts</li> </ul>							
Briefly explain reason(s)	to proceed directly to findings:						
Analyst/Team Leader:				Date Submitted:			
PQI concurs that project should proceed Date:							
PQI does NOT concur that project should proceed directly to findings. Initiation letters will be sent. Printed Name & Signature, DOT&PF Cultural Res. Professionally Qualified Individual (PQI) * Note: Region protocols for submission format and attachments may vary.							